

**Deadline 2 Submission by National Highways Limited**

**NH Response to Written Questions and Actions**

**Application by Rosefield Energyfarm Limited for an Order granting Development Consent for a Solar generating station with a gross output of over 50 megawatts (MW)**

**Planning Inspectorate Reference Number: EN010158/ [REDACTED]**

## 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This document is submitted by National Highways ("NH") for Deadline 2 in respect of application by **Rosefield Energyfarm Limited ("Applicant")** for an order granting development consent for a **Solar generating station with a gross output of over 50 megawatts (MW)** project ("**DCO**"). The Applicant seeks development consent for proposed authorised developments described in Schedule 1 of the draft DCO ("**Authorised Development**").
- 1.2 This document sets out the Examining Authority's Written Questions 1 (ExQ1) that are directly specifically to NH and NH's response to the same. In addition, the document provides NH's hearing summaries and responses to the Action Points assigned to NH.

### RESPONSE TO WRITTEN QUESTIONS (ExQ1)

EXQ1	Question to:	Question:	NH Response
Q1.12.36	The applicant National Highways Buckinghamshire Council	13. Construction traffic management plan (CTMP) 13(4): as drafted, requirement 13 would allow for the following preliminary permitted works to commence prior to the submission of the detailed CTMP: (a) – (h) and (j) – (k). Explain how these preliminary works would not have effects which should be controlled through the detailed CTMP. Should the requirement include the need for the relevant planning authority to consult with National Highways prior to approving relevant parts of the construction traffic management plan? If not, why not?	NH confirms that they would wish to be consulted on the progression of the detailed CTMP, as well as the Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AIL) route strategy once produced. It is requested that the wording of DCO Requirement 13 includes reference to National Highways with respect to the Strategic Road Network.
Q1.19.8	The applicant National Highways	TA – junction modelling  To the applicant: Buckinghamshire Council's Local Impact Report [REP1-112] indicates that that applicant will provide junction modelling but that this information has not been forthcoming. When will the applicant provide this information?  To National Highways: The Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) [REP1-032] between National Highways and the applicant states that National Highways' request for junction impact assessment is "under discussion". Please provide	NH previously raised comment, noting that the peak daily construction traffic figures presented in APP-131 6.4 Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 15.1: Transport Assessment, Table 5.1 indicated that during the peak construction period, M40 J9 could have up to 72 vehicle movements per day, but not during the peak hours. Further details regarding impact during the peak hours were requested. The applicant provided the granularity that less than 10 trips in any given hour were anticipated, which did not raise concern from National Highways to warrant further assessment or controls.

EXQ1	Question to:	Question:	NH Response
		comments on the applicant's stated position in the SoCG that no additional modelling is required.	

**National Highways Limited**  
**21 April 2026**